

**Casey Middle School
Boulder Valley School District
Project #07-06**

**Design Advisory Team Meeting #10
December 3, 2007**

Attendees

X	Alison Boggs – Casey Principal	X	Joyce Pierpont –Teacher-Librarian
X	Anthony Gonzales – Parent	X	Judy Amabile – Parent/Neighbor
X	Audra Blackledge – Teacher – PE		Kathryn Singey –Reading Teacher
X	Carrie Hausfather – Parent/ Staff		Kristin Fitzgerrell – Parent/Neighbor
X	Cathie Williamson – Gifted/Talented Coord.		Leanna Landis – Student
X	Cindy Jarmon – Casey Staff		Lesley Smith – BVSD
X	Dan LeBlanc - YRG	X	Lester Lurie – Casey Teacher
X	Derek Young – RB+B Architects		Lisa Van Leuwen-Hall - Parent
X	Don Orr – BVSD	X	Lou Novak – BVSD
X	Doug Young – Parent	X	Miguel Villalon –Assistant Principal/Parent
X	Ed Campisi – Casey Head Custodian	X	Molly Hoverstock – Casey Art Teacher
X	Elene Mooney – Parent	X	Andrews & Anderson – Nan Anderson
X	George Brelig – RB+B Architects	X	Rebecca Spears – RB+B Architects
X	James Hewat – Historical Pres. Planner	X	Richard Foy – Parent/Comm. Arts
X	John Koval – Parent	X	Tom Volkhausen – Energy Engineer/Parent
X	Jonathan Koehn – Environmental Affairs	X	CU Student – Ben Greene
X	Joe Gierlach - BVSD	X	Tom Soell – JVA Structural

1. Welcome and Introduction of Guests

- Saunders Construction, Inc: Graham Coddington, Robert Wade, & Kay Rasmussen
- Historic Boulder Members

2. Presentation of 3 Options.

- See attached PowerPoint handout.

Questions, Comments

Option 1 – Reuse 1924 building

- Programmed spaces shown in the existing building: include cafeteria, media center, auditorium & music. 2 floors instead of 3 gives better floor to floor volume for these spaces.
- Preserving perimeter of existing building and gutting interior for assignment of new spaces meets program.
- 3+ million to simply stabilize building in its current form and use
- 2.7 million net premium to stabilize foundations, gut and re-use existing building
- Members of group who value the old building prefer option 1 or 2
- James doesn't think enough time, effort and creativity have been put into investigation of re-use of existing building. He still believes program spaces could be inserted into old building for half the cost of new construction.
- John would like to preserve as much as possible for embodied energy
- Tom thinks extra money may be able to be found somewhere

Option 2 – save west and south walls

- 110,000 sf. building. New footprint will need to be about 68,000 sf. for spaces that need to be on main level
- 21.5 million is base construction cost
- Best of both worlds, maintain design flexibility
- Saves character of original building, but allows for exceptional design of interior spaces where kids spend most of their time
- Acknowledges residual aspect of old building
- Good compromise, but need to keep in mind that extra time would be spent
- Keep as much as possible, but still make program work for budget available
- Still gives blank slate which allows ability to do innovative things for kids in future
- Two walls being lost from Option 1 are not visually attractive

Option 3 – clean slate

- More fiscally responsible
- Promised new building. Inside of building is more important than outside.

3. Consensus of Direction to Proceed into Schematic Design

- After discussion of the three options a poll was taken of the feeling of the members of the Design Advisory Team.
- While a few people were in favor of Option 3, the rest of the group favored an option that would retain some of the historic aspects of the 1924 building.
- Option 3 was thrown out and the group voted for either Option 1 or 2.
- Three people were in favor of Option 1 and the rest of the group voted for Option 2.
- The consensus of the DAT is to move forward with Option 2.

Next Meeting

Monday, December 10, 2007, 7:00AM Casey Middle School

7:00AM – 8:00AM - “Creating a Health Environment” – Dr. James O. Hill

8:00AM – 8:30AM - Student Presentation

These meeting minutes are believed to be an accurate account of the above referenced project meeting. If there are any questions and/or comments, please do not hesitate to contact RB+B Architects.